GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
HOME AFFAIRS
LOK SABHA

STARRED QUESTION NO:31
ANSWERED ON:21.11.2000
NATIONAL CRIME RECORD BUREAU REPORT
HARIBHAU MAHALE;MINATI SEN

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether attention of the Government has been drawn to the conclusions of the report issued by the National Crime Record Bureau according to which the rate of increase in crime against women in the country is likely to be higher than the population growth rate by the year 2010;

(b) If so, the details of various types of crime committed against women in major cities of the country during 1999-2000, particularly rape and torture; and

(c) the steps proposed to be taken to check the crimes against women?

Answer

MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (I.D.SW AMI)

(a) to (c) : A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO LOK SABRA STARRED QUESTION NO.31 FOR 21.11.2000 REGAR `NATIONAL CRIM RECORD BUREAU REPORT`

(a) : The National Crime Records Bureau has not published any such report. One Statistical Officer of the Bureau has written an article on the subject of Projected Crime Situation. As per his views offence of `Rape` is likely to increase by 55.7% in 2010 as compared to 1998. The corresponding increase in population has been estimated by him as 20.3%. However, this is only a personal assessment of the author and not an official view.

(b): The information received from the National Crime Records Bureau in this regard is enclosed (Annexure).

(c): `Public Order` and `Police` are State subjects as per the Constitution of India and, as such, registration, investigation, detection and prevention of crime is primarily the responsibility of the State Governments, However, the Central Government has been advising the State Governments, from time to time, to give more focused attention to the improvement of administration of Criminal Justice System and take such measures as are necessary for prevention of crime against women, children and other vulnerable sections of the society.

ANNEXURE

INCIDENCE OF CJtIMES COMMITTED AGAINST WOMEN IN BIG CITIES DURING 1999

Sl.No. State/UT. Rape Kidappillg Dowry Cruelty by Sexual import. Sati I.T.P. lnd. Rep. of
Dowry Total Fig. are and death Husband Molestation Harassment of Girls Pre. Act. Act. of
Women Prohibition upto the Abduction and Relatives (Eve-teasillg) (Pro.) Act Act month

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15)

1. AHMEDABAD 18 138-26 489 45 27 0 0 2 0 0 745 DEC

2. BANGALORE 29 65 54 198 219 52 0 .0 292 0 266 1175 DEC

3. BHOPAL 63 24 8 &3 178 37 2 0 0 0 0 395 DEC

4. CALCUTTA 24 72 5 159 199 28 I 0 38 0 0 526 DEC

5. CHENNAI 16 13 13 4 38 788 0 0 3357 0 0 4229 DEC

6. COIMBATORE I 22 II 17 19 89 0 0 217 0 0 376 DEC

7 DELHI (CITY) 337 1043 133 93 588 150 0 0 77 10 7 2438 DEC

8. HYDERABAD 47 46 22 686 88 10 0 0 10 O 37 946 DEC

9.INOORE .29 28 27 63 70 .141 0 0 2 O 0 360 DEC
10. JAIPUR 38 154 27 337 115 0 0 0 16 0 0 687 DEC
11. KANPUR 22 105 43 153 37 125 0 0 0 0 O 485 Dcc(Jul,Aug Sep,Nov)
12. KOCHI 8 3 0 56 44 3 0 0 5 0 O 119 DEC
13. LUCKNOW 14 52 22 111 24 81 0 0 0 0 0 304 JUL
14. LUDHIANA 27 46 15 151 4 0 0 0 loo 244 DEC
15. MADURAI 5 11 10 34 8 126 0 0 211 0 0 405 DEC
16. MUMBAI 104 169 15 173 243 17 0 0 331 1 O 1053 DEC
17. NAGPUR 41 61 5 189 112 7 0 0 3 0 O 418 DEC
18. PATNA 17 59 23 80 35 0 0 0 0 0 7 221 DEC
19. PUNE 50 49 2 89 75 97 1 0 3 0 O 366 DEC
20.SURAT 11 48 3 114 15 0 0 0 25 0 0 216 DEC
21. VADODARA 9 46 10 93 30 6 0 0 0 0 O 194 DEC
22. VARANASI 1 5 3 15 0 20 0 0 0 0 O 44 Dec(Jan to July)
23. VISHAKHAPATNAM 20 9 9 109 35 173 0 0 29 0 4 388 DEC

SOURCE: MONTHLY CRIME STATISTICS

Note : Figures are provisional Bracketed Month name indicate non availability of data for that month.

ANNEXURE

INCIDENCE OF CRIMES COMMITTED AGAINST WOMEN IN BIG CITIES DURING 2000

Sl.No. StatelUT. Rape Kidnlapping Dowary. Cruelty by Sexual import. Sati I.T.P. Ind. Rep. of Dowry Total Fig. are and death, Husband Molestation Harassment of Girls Pre. Act Act. of Women Prohibition upto the Abduction and Relatives (Eve-teasing) (Proh.) Act Act month

(1) (2) .(3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15)

1. AHMEDABAD 11 70 22 368 38 27 0 0 1 23 0 560 JULY

2. BANGALORE 18 43 46 191 168 35 0 0 221 0 188 910 SEP

3. BHOPAL 67 39 11 80 144 27 0 0 2 0 1 371 SEP

4. CALCUTTA 19 40 10 100 101 31 0 0 30 0 0 331 JUL

5. CHENNAI 3 0 17 81 24 593 0 0 2138 251 0 3107 SEP
6. COIMBATORE 0 10 5 22 11 46 0 0 114 0 0 208 SEP

7 DELHI (CITY) 295 826 101 93 440 79 0 0 76 0 2 1912 SEP i

8. HYDERABAD 40 24 26 555 73 279 0 0 10 400 0 1407 SEP

9. INDORE 37 39 18 119 95 208 0 0 1 0 2 519 SEP
10.JAIPUR 22 96 15 265 101 1 0 O 15 O O 515 AUG
11.KANPUR 15 72 90 79 29 100 0 0 O 0 O 385 Jul(Feb.Mar) 1
12. KOCHI 1 5 0 34 36 2 0 0 4 0 O 82 SEP
13. LUCKNOW 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 O 0 N.A
14. LUDHIANA 23 24 6 175 8 0 0 0 3 2 O 241 SEP
15. MADURAI 8 8 4 32 19 78 0 0 154 0 2 305 SEP
16. MUMBAI 86 124 9 129 169 6 3 0 105 0 O 631 OCT
17. NAGPUR 27 39 8 160 59 21 0 0 4 2 3 323 -SEP

18. PATNA 10 43 24 77 60 lOO 1 0 7 223 SEP
19. PUNE 31 29 6 72 41 66 0 0 2 0 1 248 SEP
20. SURAT 8 33 0 89 9 0 0 0 22 0 O 161 SEP
21. VADODARA 4 33 1 96 4 8 0 0 0 0 O 146 SEP(Apr)
22. VARANASI 7 16 9 45 6 56 0 0 1 0 O 140 AUG
23. VISHAKHAPATNAM 7 8 0 116 30 595 0 0 6 0 2 764 SEP

SOURCE: MONTHLY CRlME STATISTICS

Note: Figures are provisional Bracketed Month name indicate non availabilit).
of data for that month.